Dear Sir,

I have been present at a current matter in the Brisbane Supreme Court Bay V APHRA and others.

I have presented a summary of the included document to your Lawyers attending to this case however I don't believe that this would of been bought to your attention.

Can you please confirm if this was indeed bought to your attention?

I tried to attend your Brisbane APHRA office however public are not allow. I contacted the given number as was informed to post in this information as to email it was not possible. (email included)

How in the year 2024 is emailing a document to a Government Agency not possible. I have enclosed the "donotreply" email that I had received from APHRA, its good enough for you to email myself but I cannot email your Agency. Why?

The document enclosed is the filing of the State of Kansas V Pfizer dated 6/8/24

I hereby put APHRA on notice upon receipt of these documents that Pfizer has misrepresented their product, furthermore the Government of Australia has also misrepresented the product contained in the documents.

I have raised this matter with most of our State based Consumer Affairs Authorities, the ACCC and the TGA with no affirmative action or response.

Currently we have Medical Practitioners being persecuted for not following your guidelines or narrative in short "Safe and Effective"

I draw your attention to the following paragraphs,

B and 57.....

- **B.** Pfizer used confidentiality agreements to conceal critical data relating to the safety and effectiveness of its COVID-19 vaccine.
- 57. Pfizer has kept data hidden through confidentiality agreements with governments

around the world.

As we somehow are not privy to the Australian contract with Pfizer the assumption has to be similar confidentiality agreements appear in the Australian contract

C and 62....

- C. Pfizer used an extended study timeline to conceal critical data relating to the safety and effectiveness of its COVID-19 vaccine.
- 62. Pfizer also kept data hidden through a study timeline that Pfizer repeatedly delayed.

E and 83.....

- E. Pfizer destroyed the vaccine control group, which will conceal critical data relating to the safety and effectiveness of its COVID-19 vaccine.
- 83. Finally, Pfizer kept its COVID-19 vaccine's true effects hidden by destroying the

control group participating in its vaccine trial.

99B and 100

- B. Pfizer made unsupported representations and concealed material facts relating to safety of its COVID-19 vaccine.
- 100. What Pfizer knew about its COVID-19 vaccine demonstrates that Pfizer made

unsupported representations and concealed material facts relating to its COVID-19 vaccine.

1. Pfizer's vaccine trials provided limited safety information because Pfizer tested only healthy individuals.

109 and 109 2.

109. Pfizer did not have data to support representations that its vaccine was safe for the general population, such as in individuals who had been diagnosed with COVID-19, who were immunocompromised, or who were pregnant or breastfeeding.

2. Pfizer failed to disclose limitations of its COVID-19 vaccine trials.

C and 127.....

C. Pfizer's knowledge of COVID-19 vaccine safety issues

127. Pfizer possessed data presenting significant safety concerns associated with its COVID-19 vaccine when Pfizer made public statements in 2021 that its COVID-19 vaccine was safe. See Worldwide Safety and Pfizer, 5.3.6 Cumulative Analysis of Post-Authorization Adverse Event Reports of PF-07302048 (BNT162B2) Received Through 28-Feb-2021, approved Apr. 30, 2021 ("Pfizer Feb. 28, 2021 Adverse Event Data").⁴⁵

and most concerning D and 141.....

D. Pfizer's knowledge of the safety of its COVID-19 vaccine on pregnant women

1. The concerning findings in Pfizer's secret animal study.

142. While Pfizer tested its COVID-19 vaccine on healthy individuals in 2020, Pfizer and its partner BioNTech also quietly tested its COVID-19 vaccine on pregnant rats from June 29, 2020 to October 12, 2020. Charles River, "A Combined Fertility and Development Study (Including Teratogenicity and Postnatal Investigations) of BNT162b1, BNT162b2 and BNT162b3 by Intramuscular Administration in the Wistar Rat," approved Dec. 22, 2020 ("Pfizer Rat Fertility Study"), at 13,⁴⁹

151. Pfizer's rat study was not publicly released until November 2022 in the Public

Health and Medical Professionals for Transparency in America FOIA lawsuit.

2. Pfizer announces study on pregnant women but omits material facts already in its possession.

160

160. Upon information and belief, Pfizer possessed many reports on these adverse events

relating to women's reproductive systems at the time of its February 18, 2021 press release.

3. Pfizer's study on pregnant women failed and the results are secret.

167

167. Upon information and belief, contrary to Pfizer Chairman and CEO Dr. Bourla's representations, Pfizer has been aware of numerous safety signals relating to its COVID-19 vaccine.

1. Pfizer's knowledge of a safety signal for myocarditis and pericarditis

iii 185

iii. Pfizer detected a safety signal for myocarditis.

185. According to a leaked confidential February 2022 Pfizer document, "[s]ince April 2021, increased cases of myocarditis and pericarditis have been reported in the United States after mRNA COVID-19 vaccination (Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna), particularly in adolescents and young adults (CDC 2021)." Pfizer, *Myocarditis/Pericarditis After mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine Administration: Potential Mechanisms and Recommended Future Actions*, Feb. 11, 2022, at 18.⁷⁴

2 191.....

2. Pfizer's knowledge of a safety signal for strokes

191. Upon information and belief, Pfizer also detected a safety signal relating to strokes.

3 200.....

3. Pfizer's knowledge of a safety signal for increased fatalities

200. Upon information and belief, Pfizer also detected a safety signal relating to deaths.

IV 206.....

IV. Pfizer Made Unsupported Representations and Concealed Material Facts Relating to Efficacy of its COVID-19 Vaccine.

A. Pfizer misrepresented and concealed material facts relating to the durability of protection provided by its COVID-19 vaccine.

206. In November 2020, Pfizer announced, "[p]rimary efficacy analysis demonstrates

BNT162b2 to be 95% effective against COVID-19 beginning 28 days after the first dose." Pfizer

and BioNTech Conclude Phase 3 Study of COVID-19 Vaccine Candidate, Meeting All Primary

Efficacy Endpoints, Pfizer, Nov. 18, 2020.82

207. Pfizer did not report the absolute risk reduction of its COVID-19 vaccine, which was just 0.84%. Piero Olliaro et al., COVID-19 vaccine efficacy and effectiveness—the elephant

B 224.....

B. Pfizer misrepresented and concealed material facts relating to the effectiveness against variants provided by its COVID-19 vaccine.

224. On February 25, 2021, Pfizer Chairman and CEO Dr. Bourla said data suggested that individuals fully vaccinated with Pfizer's COVID-19 vaccine were protected against any variant currently known, including the South African, Brazilian, and UK variants. *Exclusive interview with Pfizer CEO Albert Bourla*, NBC NEWS (Feb. 25, 2021), at 0:15.⁹²

B 247.....this could be substituted to the Australian Government saying

B. Pfizer's representations that its COVID-19 vaccine would prevent transmission.

247. Despite admissions by Pfizer Chairman and CEO Dr. Bourla and Board Member Dr. Scott Gottlieb that Pfizer did not know if its vaccine prevented transmission, Pfizer Chairman and CEO Dr. Bourla warned Kansans on multiple occasions that not receiving a COVID-19 vaccine would affect the lives of those around them, thus implying that Pfizer's COVID-19 vaccine prevented transmission.

V1 257.....

VI. Pfizer's Efforts to Censor and Suppress Material Facts related to its COVID-19 Vaccines

257. When Pfizer's efforts to hide material facts from public scrutiny failed, Pfizer took action to conceal and suppress material facts related to its COVID-19 vaccines.

A. Pfizer's view that "misinformation spreaders" are "criminals" who have "literally cost millions of lives"

258. A Pfizer website page on "Fighting Misinformation" states: "The spread of rumors and falsehoods can be dangerous. It is a threat to truth that misleads and manipulates people's perceptions. We are dedicated to helping people find accurate, science-based information as they make healthcare decisions that impact their lives." Pfizer, *Fighting Misinformation*.¹¹⁶

B 261 and so did the Australian government

B. Pfizer worked to conceal and suppress material facts.

261. Pfizer worked to conceal and suppress material facts on social media platforms.

COUNT V

COUNT V KANSAS CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT Deceptive Acts or Practices, K.S.A. 50-626(b)(1)(F)

340. All preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein.

341. Beginning in 2020, Pfizer made representations to Kansas consumers knowingly or with reason to know that its COVID-19 vaccine had uses, benefits or characteristics that Pfizer could not rely upon and did not possess a reasonable basis for making such representation, in violation of K.S.A. 50-626(b)(1)(F), including but not limited to: Pfizer's COVID-19 vaccine was safe, effective, and prevented transmission of the virus.

COUNT VI

COUNT VI KANSAS CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT Deceptive Acts or Practices, K.S.A. 50-626(b)(1)(G)

344. All preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein.

345. Beginning in 2020, Pfizer made representations knowingly or with reason to know that the use, benefit or characteristic of its COVID-19 vaccine had not been proven or otherwise substantiated and Pfizer did not rely upon and possess the type and amount of proof or substantiation represented to exist, in violation of K.S.A. 50-626(1)(G), including but not limited to: Pfizer's COVID-19 vaccine was safe, effective, and prevented transmission.

COUNT VII

COUNT VII KANSAS CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT Deceptive Acts or Practices, K.S.A. 50-626(b)(2)

348. All preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein.

349. Beginning in 2020, Pfizer willfully used, in any oral or written representation, of

exaggerations, falsehoods, innuendo, or ambiguity as to a material fact, in violation of K.S.A. 50-626(b)(2), including but not limited to: Pfizer's COVID-19 vaccine was safe, effective, and prevented transmission.

COUNT VIII

COUNT VIII KANSAS CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT Deceptive Acts or Practices, K.S.A. 50-626(b)(3)

352. All preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein.

353. Beginning in 2020, Pfizer willfully failed to state a material fact or willfully

concealed, suppressed, or omitted a material fact in violation of K.S.A. 50-626(b)(3), including but not limited to:

- a. Pfizer's COVID-19 vaccine safety data, including from its clinical trials and confidential internal company documents on adverse events, pregnant animals and pregnant women, and safety signals;
- b. Pfizer's COVID-19 vaccine's efficacy, including waning effectiveness; and
- c. Pfizer's direct efforts to censor truthful information on social media about Pfizer's COVID-19 vaccine.

COUNT IX

COUNT IX KANSAS CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT Unconscionable Acts or Practices, K.S.A. 50-627(b)(6)

- 356. All preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein.
- 357. Beginning in 2020, Pfizer knew or had reason to know that it made a misleading

statement of opinion on which the consumer was likely to rely to the consumer's detriment in

violation of K.S.A. 50-627(b)(6), including but not limited to: Pfizer's vaccine was safe, effective,

and prevented transmission.

COUNT X Civil Conspiracy

- 360. All preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein.
- 361. Upon information and belief, Pfizer conspired with two or more persons from the

federal government and third-party businesses and organizations to willfully conceal, suppress, or

omit material facts relating to Pfizer's COVID-19 vaccine.

As you are a model litigant and hence I present this information for any of your present matters before the courts against medical practitioners to be considered where relevant.

The persecution of any medical practitioners has to stop where the practitioners had the best interests for the patients during the COVID period of time.

Yours Sincerely,